

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

01

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/719,086	11/21/2003	Brad S. Culbert	TRIAG-001A	2258
7	590 07/02/2004		EXAM	INER
Marlene Klein			DAVIS, DANIEL J	
STETINA BRUNDA GARRED & BRUCKER Suite 250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
75 Enterprise			3731	
Aliso Viejo, CA 92656			DATE MAILED: 07/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/719,086	CULBERT, BRAD S.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	D. Jacob Davis	3731			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
•	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10,11 and 15-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7,9 and 12-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 8 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/12/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/719,086 Page 2

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-14, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 606, subclass 73.

II. Claims 15-20, drawn to a method of use, classified in class 606, subclass232.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I and Group II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the device may be used to secure a fractured bone without fixing the tissue to the bone.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

SPECIES

- I Titanium (claim 9)
- II Bioabsorbable polymeric (claim 10)
- III Nonabsorbable polymeric (claim 11)

Art Unit: 3731

SPECIES	REPRESENTATIVE FIGURES
Α	1
В	9
С	10

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

During a telephone conversation with Kit M. Stetina a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, Species I and A, claims 1-9 and 12-14. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 11, 12 and 15-20 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102() as being anticipated by U.S.

Patent No. 6,123,711 to Winters. Winters discloses a soft tissue anchor device (Figs. 1-3) comprising an elongate body 20, a helical anchor 212, a retention structure 222, a proximal anchor 31, and an adjustable flange 40. The adjustable flange itself is configured to receive at least the tip of the proximal anchor 31. As illustrated in Fig. 1, there is a gap 41 between the adjustable flange 40 and the proximal anchor 31. The gap allows the adjustable flange 40 to be positioned at a variable angle.

The device further comprises a plurality of spikes 44 that may be spaced around a perimeter of the flange and equidistant from one another (Figs. 2B and 2C). The gap 41 is considered a flange recess 41, which is configured to engage an installation tool.

Art Unit: 3731

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,123,711 to Winters in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,988,351 to Paulos et al.

Winters is silent with respect to a titanium elongate body. Nevertheless, Paulos teaches a titanium threaded fastener (col. 3, lines 1--et seq.). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Winters device as taught by Paulos because titanium is biocompatible, strong, and lightweight.

Winters is silent regarding the dimensions of the elongate member.

Nevertheless, Paulos discloses a threaded fastener and washer device for attaching soft tissue to bone having the fastener dimensions as specified in claims 12-14 (Paulos, col. 3, lines 18-25). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the Winters device having the dimensions specified by Paulos in order to effectively attach the threaded fastener within the bone.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,086

Art Unit: 3731

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 6

Claim 8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Jacob Davis whose telephone number is (703) 305-1232. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, McDermott or Shaver can be reached on (703) 308-0858. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DJD

June 28, 2004

PRIMARY EXAMINER